

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

OGC 73-0929

25 May 1973

STATOTHR



STATOTHR

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

Re: [REDACTED]

25X1A

This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter of May 14, 1973 regarding the nature of [REDACTED] employment with this Agency.

25X1A

As you stated in your letter, [REDACTED] was employed by the Agency from 1966 to 1971, but a review of his employment records reveals that his responsibilities did not involve legal duties in any way. As such, I am of the opinion that his employment with the Agency would not qualify toward fulfilling the New Hampshire requirement for an attorney to practice law or perform legal duties for five years prior to his request to be admitted by motion.

25X1A

Sincerely,

s/ Lawrence R. Houston

Lawrence R. Houston
General Counsel

JGB:ks

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

- 1 - BAR ADMISSIONS w/incoming, OGC 73-0893
- 1 - JGB Signer
- 1 - Chrono

97C 73-0823

TOTHR

May 14, 1973.

Lawrence R. Houston, General Counsel,
Office of the General Counsel,
Central Intelligence Agency,
Washington, D.C. 20505.

Dear Mr. Houston:

Re: [REDACTED]

25X1A

I have been conferring with [REDACTED] about the possibility of his joining our firm. [REDACTED] has indicated to me that from 1966 to 1971 he was employed as an attorney by the Central Intelligence Agency. Consequently, I have two reasons for writing this letter to you. First, I would be most appreciative if you would supply me with a brief description of his legal duties during his period of employment and furnish me with whatever information you are able to regarding his general character, integrity and capabilities.

25X1A

The second reason that I have for writing you is that [REDACTED] is presently not a member of the New Hampshire Bar (he is, however, a member of the Michigan Bar) and he indicated that if we were able to work something out he would request to be admitted to the Bar of the State of New Hampshire upon motion to the New Hampshire State Supreme Court. One of the prerequisites in this State for an attorney to be admitted by motion is that he has practiced law or performed legal duties for a period of five years prior to his request to be admitted on motion and consequently I need to know if his employment by the Central Intelligence Agency would qualify, and in order to do this, I would need to know the nature of his legal duties.

25X1A

I thank you in advance for any assistance you might render me in regard to this matter and should you have any questions or desire any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

STATOTHR

Memorandum of Conversation

DATE: 24 May 1973
11:15 a.m.

25X1A SUBJECT : [REDACTED]

25X1A PARTICIPANTS: [REDACTED]

COPIES TO : --

25X1A [REDACTED] explained to [REDACTED] that Mr. Houston had received a
STATOTHR letter from [REDACTED] regarding him and his employment with the Agency and
if his work here, in a legal capacity, would qualify him to be admitted on motion
to the New Hampshire State Supreme Court. [REDACTED] said that [REDACTED] 25X1A
and he are friends and he had asked him if he would be interested in joining his
25X1A firm. [REDACTED] also said that he had no plans whatsoever of joining [REDACTED]
firm and understood completely what the problem was and he said he fully
realized that his employment with the Agency in a legal capacity overseas would
definitely not qualify him for such an endeavor. JGB explained that he would
STATOTHR write a letter to [REDACTED] in response to his letter.

Mr. Houston:

STATINTL



M. 5/22

NOTE ATTACHED TO BACK OF MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
DATED 24 MAY 1973.